

An Analysis of Mathematical Critical-Thinking Ability: The Impact of DCT (Dialogue Critical Thinking) and Learning Motivation

Zuhan Nahdiyah^{1,*}, Netriwati¹, Dian Anggraini², Fadly Nendra¹

¹ Universitas Islam Negeri Raden Intan Lampung, Indonesia ² Institut Tekhnologi Sumatera, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO

Article History

Received	: 02-07-2020
Revised	: 25-08-2020
Accepted	: 06-09-2020
Published	: 20-09-2020

Keywords:

Deep DCT learning; Learning motivation; Mathematical Critical Thinking ability

*Correspondence: E-mail: zuhannahdiyah123@gmail.com

Doi: 10.24042/djm.v3i3.6799

ABSTRACT

The mathematical critical thinking ability is part of a very important mathematical curriculum. The purpose in this study was to analyze the influence of Deep DCT Learning and the motivation to learn from the mathematical critical thinking ability. Research in is a quantitative study with the type of Quasy experimental Design by using post-test only control. Sampling techniques are performed by means of Random Sampling. Data retrieval is done by giving post-Test and poll. The analysis test used is a two way variances analysis (ANAVA). Based on the research results analyzed that: There is an influence between Deep DCT Learning to the mathematical critical thinking ability, there is a high, moderate and low motivation influence on mathematical critical thinking Skills, There is no interaction between Deep DCT Learning and the motivation to learn the ability of critical thinking mathematically.

http://ejournal.radenintan.ac.id/index.php/desimal/index

INTRODUCTION

The 4.0 era development demands a lot of transformation in the world of education. one of which is the mathematical knowledge that must be learned (Thahir et al., 2019). Changes are important considered because mathematical reasoning is important in solving problems, making decisions, providing confidence. analyzing. assuming, and conducting mathematical scientific research (Rosmaiyadi, 2017). critical-thinking also, ability helps students to express their opinions, develop their ideas (Fakhriyah, 2014; Rodiyana, 2015), analyze a problem, and conclude a decision from a problem (Sari, 2015; Sihotang, 2014). Several factors are thought to influence mathematical criticalthinking ability, including subject matter, indicators, learning model, and learning media (Jumaisyaroh et al., 2015; Nadiya et al., 2016).

One of the learning models for critical thinking skills is Deep DCT which prioritizes the ability to have a deep

dialogue with one another (Alimni, 2017; Sakban, 2015). It relies on the criticalthinking ability to analyze problems so the right decisions could be made (Permatasari & Setyowati, 2016; Sani, 2019). Other factors affect the process of activities called learning learning motivation. It is said that motivation is characterized by the emergence of feelings (Cleopatra, 2015; Wenty, 2020). In this motivation is relevant case, to psychological, affection, and emotional issues that can determine human behavior (Mayliana & Sofyan, 2013; Suardana & Simarmata, 2013). The function of motivation is to encourage humans to act, determine the direction of action, achieve goals, and select which actions to do (Cahyani et al., 2017; Suprihatin, 2015; Tasrim & Elihami, 2020).

Deep DCT provides reinforcement of learning that is centered on students (Kurniawan, 2019). The advantages of Deep DCT Learning (Noviandari & Fratiwi, 2018; Untari et al., 2016) includes training the critical-thinking, analyzing several facts. emphasizing attitude or effective on assessment, assessing the personality, and spiritual, and emotional, gaining knowledge and experience.

The Deep DCT learning model on the mathematical critical-thinking ability and the learning motivation can influence the process of learning mathematics to solve problems. This is also supported by several studies on learning motivation (Amiryousefi & Dastjerdi, 2011). DCT learning influences learning (A'yuni & Budiwibowo, 2014; Anggreni et al., 2013).

METHOD

This research was conducted at SMP Negeri 31 Bandar Lampung. The subjects of this research were the tenth-grade students SMP Negeri 31 Bandar Lampung. This research employed the posttest only control group design of quasiexperimental. This study involved two classes, namely the experimental class that applied the Deep DCT learning and the control class that applied conventional learning. After getting the treatment, a posttest was carried out (final test).

The sampling technique used was the cluster random sampling. The data was collected through interviews, documentation, tests, and questionnaires. The instrument used in this research was a written test in the form of essay questions.



Figure 1. Research Design

The test was used to evaluate Deep DCT learning and the questionnaire was used to measure the learning motivation. The test research instrument trial had met the criteria for validity, reliability, difficulty level, and discrimination index. In this study, the data analysis technique used was the two-way ANOVA.

Desimal, 3 (3), 2020 - 221 Zuhan Nahdiyah, Netriwati, Dian Anggraini, Fadly Nendra

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on Table 1, more students were taught using the Deep DCT learning model than students who were taught using the conventional learning model. The following is the summary of the posttest data of mathematical criticalthinking ability:

Table 1. Data	Description	of Mathematical	Critical-	Thinking A	\bility
				0	

Classes	X _{Max}	X _{Min}	Central Tendency		Group	Variance	
			<u>X</u>	M _e	Mo	R	S
Experimental	100	25	66.5	67.5	64	75	38.69
Control	100	20	48.5	40	21	50	91.45

Based on Table 1, the highest score in the experimental class was 100 and the lowest score was 25. The following is the calculation of two-way ANOVA with unequal cells.

Table 2. The Summar	v of Two-Wav	ANOVA with	Unequal Cells

Sources	JK	dK	RK	Fobs	Fα
Learning Method (A)	965,973	1,000	965,973	4,393	4,020
Learning Motivation (B)	21215,374	2	10607,787	48.24	4,020
Interaction (AB)	161,836	2	80,918	0.368	3,168
Error	11873,754	54	219,884	-	-
Total	34217,137	59	-	-	-

Based on the data analysis, it was obtained that $F_a = 4,061 > F_{(0,05;1;54)}$. It can be concluded that there were influence differences between students who are taught using the conventional learning model and students' mathematical critical-thinking ability. Also, it was obtained that $F_b = 101,676 > F_{critical}$. It can be concluded that there

were influence differences between students' motivation level and their mathematical critical-thinking ability. It was also obtained that $F_C = 0,368 >$ F_{tabel} which indicated that there was no interaction between the Deep DCT learning model in terms of learning motivation and mathematical criticalthinking ability.

Гable 3. Mean and Marginal Mea

Model	Mathematic	Mean		
Learning	High	Moderate	Low	Marginal
DDCT	85,231	59,583	34,400	59,738
Conventional	76,375	47,091	30,364	51,277
Marginal Mean	80,803	53,337	32,382	

Based on the marginal mean, it can be concluded that the Deep DCT learning model was better than the conventional learning model. The result of the multiple comparison test can be seen in Table 4.

Table 4. Multiple	Comparison	Test Results
-------------------	------------	--------------

Interaction	Fobserved	F _{critical}	Description
μ_1 vs μ_2	41,16	4,019	H_0 was rejected
μ_1 vs μ_3	13,31	4,019	H_0 was rejected
μ_2 vs μ_3	79,97	3,175	H_0 was rejected

Desimal, 3 (3), 2020 - 222 Zuhan Nahdiyah, Netriwati, Dian Anggraini, Fadly Nendra

Based on the multiple comparison between μ_1 vs μ_2 , there were test differences between students with high learning motivation and students with moderate learning motivation on mathematical critical-thinking ability. Between $\mu_2 vs \mu_3$, it was found that there were differences between students with learning moderate motivation and

students with low learning motivation on mathematical critical-thinking ability. Between $\mu_1 vs \mu_3$, it was found that there were differences between students with high learning motivation and students with low learning motivation on mathematical critical-thinking ability. The steps of the Deep DCT Learning Model consists of:



Figure 2. The steps of the Deep DCT Learning Model

The mathematical critical-thinking ability of students who were taught using the Deep DCT learning model was better than the students who were taught using the conventional learning model. Based on the previous theory, it is said that the Deep DCT learning model is one that emphasizes deep dialogue and criticalthinking. Besides, Deep DCT learning can make students have a better criticalthinking ability because they are trained to solve problems related to everyday life. So that they can be more active in the learning process.

The of results the analysis discovered that students with high learning motivation had а better mathematical critical-thinking ability compared to the students with moderate learning motivation. Students with moderate learning motivation had a better mathematical critical-thinking ability

compared to students with low learning motivation. There was no interaction between the learning model and learning motivation on mathematical criticalthinking ability.

Based on the results of the two-way ANOVA, it was found that there was no interaction between learning models and students' learning motivation on mathematical critical-thinking ability. This indicated that any learning models applied to the students with high, moderate, or low learning motivation do not have a significant effect on students' mathematical critical-thinking ability. Even though different learning models are applied in each class, the goal remains the same, namely to improve students' mathematical critical-thinking ability.

It is the same as previous research which stated that DCT is quite effective to use. The previous research also analyzed that there was a significant influence on Indonesian subject learning outcomes between students who were taught using DCT/critical thinking and students who were taught using conventional learning. The results showed that tobserved was greater than t_{critical} (7,897> 2,000). It was also supported by the difference in the mean score obtained between students who were taught using the Deep DCT learning model (83.32) and students who were taught using conventional learning (77.42).Therefore, the alternative hypothesis was accepted which stated that there was a significant effect of Indonesian learning outcomes between students who are taught using the Deep DCT learning model and students who were taught using conventional learning at the fifthgrade of SD No. 1 Tuban, Kuta District. The previous research had the same results as this research which states that the Deep DCT was effectively used by students to remember the material in a better and more meaningful way. It increased the absorption rate of the learning material and the students' learning outcomes (A'yuni & Budiwibowo, 2014; Amiryousefi & Dastjerdi, 2011; Anggreni et al., 2013).

CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

Based on the results of the analysis and discussion, the mathematical criticalthinking ability of students who were taught using the Deep DCT learning model was better than students who were taught using the conventional learning model. The mathematical critical-thinking ability of students who had moderate mathematics learning motivation was better than students who had low mathematics learning motivation. There was no interaction between learning models and students' motivation for mathematical critical-thinking ability.

Based on the results of the research, it is suggested to use a learning model that makes students more active. Teachers must always develop learning motivation because motivation is an important factor in improving mathematical critical thinking skills. It is hoped for the further researcher to develop this research with other materials and models. Finally, this research is expected to be used as a reference for further research.

REFERENCES

- A'yuni, A. Q., & Budiwibowo, S. (2014). Pengaruh metode diskusi dengan model pembelajaran DD-CT (deep dialogue-critical thinking) dengan pemberian penguatan terhadap prestasi belajar akuntansi pada siswa kelas XI akuntansi SMKN 1 Geger Madiun. *Assets: Jurnal Akuntansi Dan Pendidikan*, 3(2), 97–105.
- Alimni. (2017). Penerapan pendekatan deepdialogue and critical thingking (Dd&Ct) untuk meningkatkan mutu proses dan hasil belajar PAI siswa kelas VIII SMPN 20 Kota Bengkulu. *Annizom, 2*(2), 229–240.
- Amiryousefi, M., & Dastjerdi, H. V. (2011).
 The relation between MI and motivation and students' likes and dislikes of course books: A comparison between Interchange and Top Notch Elementary books.
 Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 30, 1709–1713.
- Anggreni, D., Wiyasa, I. K. N., & Putra, D. K.
 N. S. (2013). Model pembelajaran deep dealogue/critical thinking berpengaruh terhadap hasil belajar bahasa indonesia siswa kelas V SD No.
 1 Tuban Kecamatan Kuta. *MIMBAR PGSD Undiksha*, 1(1).
- Cahyani, N. A. P., Bariyyah, K., & Latifah, L. (2017). Efektivitas teknik permainan simulasi dengan menggunakan media dart board untuk meningkatkan motivasi belajar siswa kelas VII C SMP Negeri 2 Tegal Siwalan Probolinggo. *JKI (Jurnal Konseling Indonesia)*, 3(1), 22–27.
- Cleopatra, M. (2015). Pengaruh gaya hidup dan motivasi belajar terhadap

Desimal, 3 (3), 2020 - 224

Zuhan Nahdiyah, Netriwati, Dian Anggraini, Fadly Nendra

prestasi belajar matematika. *Formatif: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan MIPA*, 5(2).

- Fakhriyah. (2014). Penerapan problem based learning dalam upaya mengembangkan kemampuan berpikir kritis mahasiswa. Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia, 3(1).
- Jumaisyaroh, T., Napitupulu, E. E., & Hasratuddin. (2015). Peningkatan kemampuan berpikir kritis matematis dan kemandirian belajar siswa smp melalui pembelajaran berbasis masalah. *Kreano, Jurnal Matematika Kreatif-Inovatif*, 5(2), 157–169.
- Kurniawan, G. F. (2019). Pembelajaran sejarah di kelas XI SMA semesta bilingual boarding school semarang. *Historia Pedagogia*, 8(1), 68–75.
- Mayliana, E., & Sofyan, H. (2013). Penerapan accelerated learning dengan pendekatan SAVI untuk meningkatkan motivasi dan hasil belajar kompetensi menggambar busana. *Jurnal Pendidikan Vokasi*, *3*(1).
- Nadiya, Rosdianto, H., & Murdani, E. (2016). Penerapan model pembelajaran group investigation (GI) untuk meningkatkan keterampilan berpikir kritis siswa pada materi gerak lurus kelas X. *JIPF* (*Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan Fisika*), 1(2), 49–51.
- Noviandari, H., & Fratiwi, M. E. (2018). Pembelajaran menulis karya ilmiah berbasis deep dialogue/critical thinking. *SOSIOEDUKASI: JURNAL ILMIAH ILMU PENDIDIKAN DAN SOSIAL*, 7(1), 44–63.
- Permatasari, F. I., & Setyowati, R. N. (2016). Pengaruh model pembelajaran yurisprudensi inkuiri pada mata pelajaran ppkn terhadap peningkatan kemampuan berpikir kritis siswa kelas XI SMAN 1 Wonoayu. *Kajian Moral Dan Kewarganegaraan*, 3(4), 1500–1514.

- Rodiyana, R. (2015). Pengaruh penerapan strategi pembelajaran inkuiri terhadap kemampuan berpikir kritis dan kreatif siswa SD. Jurnal Cakrawala Pendas, 1(1).
- Rosmaiyadi. (2017). Analisis kemampuan berpikir kritis matematis siswa dalam learning cycle 7e berdasarkan gaya belajar. *AKSIOMA: Jurnal Program Studi Pendidikan Matematika*, 6(1), 12–19.
- Sakban, A. (2015). Penerapan pendekatan deep dialog and critical thinking terhadap berpikir kritis siswa pada pembelajaran pendidikan kewarganegaraan di SMP Negeri 7 Mataram. Jurnal Ilmiah Mandala Education, 1(2), 121–130.
- Sani, L. (2019). Pengaruh penerapan model pembelajaran think talk write terhadap kemampuan berpikir kritis matematis siswa SMP. *Al-TA'DIB*, 1– 18.
- Sari, R. H. N. (2015). Literasi matematika: Apa, mengapa, dan bagaimana. Seminar Nasional Matematika Dan Pendidikan Matematika UNY, 8.
- Sihotang, H. T. (2014). Sistem pakar mendiagnosa penyakit kolesterol pada remaja dengan metode certainty factor (Cf) berbasis web. *Jurnal Mantik Penusa*, *15*(1), 16–23.
- Suardana, A. A. P. C. P., & Simarmata, N. (2013). Hubungan antara motivasi belajar dan kecemasan pada siswa kelas VI Sekolah Dasar di Denpasar menjelang Ujian Nasional. *Jurnal Psikologi Udayana*, 1(1), 203–212.
- Suprihatin, S. (2015). Upaya guru dalam meningkatkan motivasi belajar siswa. *Jurnal Pendidikan Ekonomi UM Metro*, *3*(1), 73–82.
- Tasrim, & Elihami. (2020). Motivasi kerja pendidik dalam meningkatkan manajemen lembaga pendidikan dasar. *Mahaguru: Jurnal Pendidikan Guru Sekolah Dasar, 1*(1), 48–53.
- Thahir, A., Komarudin, Hasanah, U. N., & Rahmahwaty. (2019). Murder

learning and self efficacy models: impact on mathematical reflective thingking ability. *Journal for the Education of Gifted Young Scientists*, 7(4), 1123–1135.

- Untari, S., Hakim, S. Al, & Astawa, K. D. (2016). Pengembangan model pembelajaran berbasis deep dialogue/critical thinking melalui praktik belajar kewarganegaraan siswa SD di Jawa Timur. Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Pancasila Dan Kewarganegaraan, 23(2).
- Wenty, C. S. (2020). Hubungan motivasi kerja dengan kinerja pegawai dinas pendidikan kabupaten pesisir selatan. *Jurnal Bahana Manajemen Pendidikan*, 3(2), 937–942.

Desimal, 3 (3), 2020 - 226 Zuhan Nahdiyah, Netriwati, Dian Anggraini, Fadly Nendra